


Evaluator 1

FY25-074: Consulting Services for Utility AMI/AMR System and Customer Information System (CIS) Solution Evaluation



Signature *[Handwritten Signature]*

Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.


* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	MAXIMUM RATING *	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order				
		Vendor 1 E SOURCE	Vendor 2 G.H.D	Vendor 3 PAINTER	Vendor 4 C.E.P	Vendor 5 SUMMIT
Experience and Qualifications	25	20	25	15	10	10
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	15	15	10	5	5
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	15	20	10	10	5
Financial and Strategic Value	15	10	10	10	5	5
References and Past Performance	15	10	10	5	5	5
TOTAL POINTS	100	70	80	50	35	30

Member Recommendation:

Comments:

FY25-074: Consulting Services for Utility AML/AMR System and Customer Information System (CIS) Solution Evaluation



Signature

[Handwritten Signature]


Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	MAXIMUM RATING *	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order				
		Vendor 6 BELL DOME	BOD Vendor 2-7	ATLAS Vendor 3-8	SL-SPEED Vendor 4-9	Vendor 5
Experience and Qualifications	25	10	5	10	5	
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	10	5	5	5	
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	5	5	5	5	
Financial and Strategic Value	15	5	5	5	5	
References and Past Performance	15	5	5	5	5	
TOTAL POINTS	100	35	25	30	25	

Member Recommendation:

Comments:



Evaluator 2


Signature Patricia Jato

Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	MAXIMUM RATING *	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order				
		ESOURCE Vendor 1	GHD Vendor 2	USP Vendor 3	LER Vendor 4	Schmidt Vendor 5
Experience and Qualifications	25	20	20	20	15	10
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	20	20	15	20	15
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	20	20	20	20	15
Financial and Strategic Value	15	15	15	15	15	10
References and Past Performance	15	15	15	15	10	10
TOTAL POINTS	100	90	90	85	80	60
Member Recommendation:						

Comments:



Signature Patricia Sobo


Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order				
	MAXIMUM RATING *	B D M & P Vendor 16	B&J Vendor 27	ATLAS Vendor 38	SL-S&S Vendor 49
Experience and Qualifications	25	15	20	20	20
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	10	10	20	15
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	15	20	20	20
Financial and Strategic Value	15	15	15	15	10
References and Past Performance	15	15	15	15	15
TOTAL POINTS	100	70	80	90	80

Member Recommendation:

Comments:



Signature

Greg Garcia


Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order					
	MAXIMUM RATING *	ESource Vendor 1	GHD Vendor 2	USP Vendor 3	LEPR Vendor 4	Schmidt Vendor 5
Experience and Qualifications	25	25	20	15	5	10
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	15	15	10	10	10
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	15	20	20	10	10
Financial and Strategic Value	15	10	15	10	5	5
References and Past Performance	15	15	15	5	5	5
TOTAL POINTS	100	80	85	60	35	40
Member Recommendation:	GHD					

Comments:

Evaluator 3



Signature: *[Handwritten Signature]*

Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE


Evaluation Criteria	MAXIMUM RATING *	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order				
		BUTTY/DUNN Vendor 1-6	BRID Vendor 2-7	ATLAS Vendor 3-8	SL-SECO Vendor 4-9	VENDOR 5
Experience and Qualifications	25	20	15	15	5	
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	10	10	10	10	
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	10	15	10	15	
Financial and Strategic Value	15	5	10	10	5	
References and Past Performance	15	10	5	10	5	
TOTAL POINTS	100	55	55	55	40	

Member Recommendation:

Comments:

Evaluator

FY25-074: Consulting Services for Utility AMI/AMR System and Customer Information System (CIS) Solution Evaluation



Signature J. [Signature]


Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order					
	MAXIMUM RATING *	C-Source Vendor 1	GHD Vendor 2	Utility Solutions Vendor 3	LEP Vendor 4	Schmidt Vendor 5
Experience and Qualifications	25	15	15	10	5	10
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	20	20	15	10	5
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	15	20	20	5	5
Financial and Strategic Value	15	15	15	10	5	10
References and Past Performance	15	15	15	10	5	10
TOTAL POINTS	100	80	85	65	30	40

Member Recommendation:

Comments:



Signature

[Handwritten Signature]


Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order				
	MAXIMUM RATING *	Berry DU Vendor 6	BDO Vendor 7	ATLAS Vendor 8	DL-SERCO Vendor 9
Experience and Qualifications	25	10	10	5	10
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	10	5	5	5
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	5	10	10	10
Financial and Strategic Value	15	10	10	10	10
References and Past Performance	15	10	5	5	5
TOTAL POINTS	100	45	40	35	40

Member Recommendation:

Comments:




Evaluators

Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order					
	MAXIMUM RATING *	E-SOURCE Vendor 1	GHD Vendor 2	USP Vendor 3	LER Vendor 4	SCHWAB Vendor 5
Experience and Qualifications	25	25	20	15	10	15
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	20	20	10	10	10
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	20	25	20	15	20
Financial and Strategic Value	15	10	15	5	5	5
References and Past Performance	15	15	15	10	10	15
TOTAL POINTS	100	90	95	60	50	65
Member Recommendation:						

Comments:



Signature


Margaret Ayala

Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

*** RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE**

Evaluation Criteria	MAXIMUM RATING *	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order				
		BERRY, DUNN Vendor 4-6	BR 10 Vendor 2-7	ATLAS Vendor 3-8	SL-SECO Vendor 4-9	Vendor 5-
Experience and Qualifications	25	25	10	15	10	
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	15	15	10	10	
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	15	15	10	10	
Financial and Strategic Value	15	10	15	20	10	
References and Past Performance	15	15	15	15	15	
TOTAL POINTS	100	80	60	70	55	
Member Recommendation:						

Comments:



2 valuator 6

Signature

[Handwritten Signature]


Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE						
Evaluation Criteria	Firms Listed in Alphabetical Order					
	MAXIMUM RATING *	E-Source Vendor 1	GHS Vendor 2	USP Vendor 3	LER Vendor 4	SCHMIDT Vendor 5
Experience and Qualifications	25	20	20	15	5	10
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	15	20	15	10	5
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	20	25	20	5	5
Financial and Strategic Value	15	10	15	10	5	10
References and Past Performance	15	10	15	10	5	10
TOTAL POINTS	100	75	95	70	30	40
Member Recommendation:						

Comments:

FY25-074: Consulting Services for Utility AMI/AMR System and Customer Information System (CIS) Solution Evaluation



Signature

[Handwritten Signature]

Return completed Evaluation Sheet to Purchasing for tabulation.

* RATE USING 5 POINT INCREMENTS PLEASE

Evaluation Criteria	MAXIMUM RATING *	Firms Listed In Alphabetical Order				
		BEER, DANN Vendor-1-6	BRD Vendor-2-7	ATLAS Vendor-3-8	SL-SERVO Vendor-4-9	Vendor-5-
Experience and Qualifications	25	10	10	10	10	
Understanding of Utility Constraints and Evolutionary Needs	20	10	10	10	5	
Quality and Feasibility of Project Approach	25	5	5	5	5	
Financial and Strategic Value	15	10	10	10	5	
References and Past Performance	15	10	10	10	5	
TOTAL POINTS	100	45	45	45	30	

Member Recommendation:

Comments: